FEBRUARY 11: MARK E. NEELY, JR. on
"TO DISTINGUISH MYSELF"—LINCOLN AND THE MEXICAN WAR

Dr. Mark E. Neely, Jr., distinguished Lincoln historian and director of the Lincoln Library and Museum of the Lincoln National Life Foundation, will be our featured speaker on February 11th. His topic on that occasion will be "To Distinguish Myself: Lincoln and the Mexican War."

Dr. Neely's remarks will deal with that period of Abraham Lincoln's life during which he served in the House of Representatives as a Congressman from Illinois. Only eleven days after Lincoln was nominated to Congress, war with Mexico was declared. At first Lincoln supported the administration by advising all citizens to stand by the flag and to supply the needs of the brave men at the fighting front. However, he soon changed his position to that of many other members of the Whig party who felt that the war with Mexico was instigated by slaveholders desiring the extension of slave territory.

President Polk wanted possession of Texas, New Mexico, and California for the immense land and wealth each would bring to the United States. Thus his first goal was to acquire the huge area by any necessary means. Once that was accomplished he would face the issue of whether it would be slave or free.

Lincoln soon became outspoken in blaming the President and his administration for waging an unjust war against Mexico. As a result he was greatly criticized by many newspapers, some of whom even referred to him as a second Benedict Arnold. Many people back home, including his former law partner William Herndon, could not understand Lincoln's position, but Lincoln felt that instituting war against a neighboring people to force them to sell their land was dishonorable and disgraceful.

Dr. Neely will argue that Congressman Lincoln's opposition to the Mexican War was not, as Herndon later claimed, a matter of "political suicide." He states that there is almost no evidence at all that it harmed Lincoln's standing with the Whigs, and that he can prove that Herndon's memory was faulty. Moreover, by comparing Lincoln's career in the House of Representatives with those of other Midwestern Whigs, his actions appear neither unpopular nor unusual.

Dr. Neely will also discuss the reason for Lincoln not running for re-election to the House of Representatives. He suggests that Lincoln simply did not like the job, being bored by the tedium which was the lot of any Midwestern Congressman.

Mark E. Neely, Jr. received his undergraduate and graduate education at Yale University, earning a B.A. in 1966 and a Ph.D. in 1973. He taught American history at Iowa State University before coming to Fort Wayne, Indiana to head the Lincoln Library and Museum. In addition to many speaking engagements he has each year, Dr. Neely edits "Lincoln Lore," which discusses the acquisitions of the Lincoln Library and Museum, reviews new books in the Lincoln field, and in general attempts to keep the reader abreast of the latest historical scholarship on America's middle period. His publications to date include "Abraham Lincoln's Nationalism Reconsidered," "American Nationalism in the Image of Henry Clay: Abraham Lincoln's Eulogy on Clay in Context," and "Richard W. Thompson: The Persistent Know Nothing."

The Lincoln National Life Foundation was established in 1928 to further historical research on the life and times of Abraham Lincoln. The Lincoln Library and Museum houses a collection of over 17,000 books and pamphlets on the mid-nineteenth century, including some 10,000 on Lincoln alone. It also contains an ever-growing collection of Lincoln manuscripts and letters written by Lincoln's contemporaries and associates. From these collections, the staff attempts to answer questions from researchers and the interested public on Abraham Lincoln's life. The Foundation also includes a large display of Lincoln relics, manuscripts, pictures and memorabilia. In addition to supplying photographs and copies of rare pamphlets and manuscripts, the Foundation publishes "Lincoln Lore."
from the Editor's pen

Each month, as we sit down to write this column, our first task is to determine a subject. Invariably the initial thought which comes to mind is “Is it time, time to put on paper our feelings on a subject which has bothered us greatly for over three years?” And each month we have said to ourselves, “No, it is not yet time, for our feelings are still too subjective, too personal to enable us to comment properly.” However, we have come to the realization that the day of objectivity may never arrive for this topic and so, goaded by the most recent development in the matter, we will proceed without that desired tryst. At least we have warned those who choose to read on.

As we have admitted on many occasions, Gettysburg is something special for us. The events of those three days hold a fascination unequalled by any other event of 1861-1865. In fact, the attraction goes far beyond the details of the battle itself; it extends to the place, to the myriad landmarks whose names are familiar to all who have read of the clash of Lee and Meade. Time after time we find ourselves pulled back to the town to visit again the area we have walked over so often. Yes, we know of the commercialism, the tourist traps that have desecrated the hallowed ground, that turn off almost every student of our war. Yet, there are those sites on that field where the wax museum, the neon signs, the drive-in restaurant, have not invaded. The Valley of Death, the Wheatfield, McPherson’s Woods, East Cavalry Field, the Stryker Farm, and many more. Places where in the peace and quiet one could look around and almost see it as it was, feel the presence of those who fought and died there.

And then came Mr. Thomas Ottenstein and his Tower. All of a sudden much of the magic was gone. Nowhere could we stand and not see it, not have our vision of what was ruined by what is. We still go to Gettysburg, but it is not the same. He has intruded upon us and we resent it. Yet we did not write of our feelings for we wanted to give it time, to see if we were wrong, to see if it was for the better.

While we waited, others became embroiled in the controversy. As the project dragged through the court, underwent construction, and then finally opened, sides were formed, pro and con. Local businessmen, historians, environmentalists, politicians, bureaucrats, each took their position and spoke out in support of it. Even the editors of various Round Table newsletters differed in their opinions. Several got into bitter editorial battles attacking what each other had written, apparently forgetting that all are entitled to their opinions.

Why then do we speak out now when the tower is a reality? Because Mr. Ottenstein has plunged to the depths of hypocrisy and by doing so has made us realize how wrong silence is. He has brought suit to have his Tower declared “an educational facility” and therefore exempt from local amusement taxes. Neither he nor the court has asked for our opinion, but, unsolicited, we will be glad to give it. No, it is not an educational facility; instead it is a national disgrace, a denial of our heritage, a monument to unfeeling greed. Should Mr. Ottenstein wish to obtain an educational use to the Battle of Gettysburg, let him walk with Fred Tillberg, Tom Harrison, Jacob Sheads, men who have dedicated their lives to the telling of the Gettysburg story to the people of America. Calling Mr. Ottenstein’s fancy pamphlet, stirring music, and Hollywood narration an educational facility is an insult to those men and to every principle they stand for.

The issue here is not whether Mr. Ottenstein pays his taxes. Instead it is much deeper. The issue is what we consider to be worthy of the term “educational.” Are the

(Continued on page 4)
January Meeting

The eighty members and guests who attended the January meeting expected to be thoroughly entertained and enlightened by one of the foremost Civil War historians of our time. Without question, they were not disappointed. However, our featured speaker was not the anticipated James I. “Bud” Robertson, Jr., Dr. Robertson’s scheduled appearance fell victim to the severe winter weather, as a major ice storm prevented his departure from Virginia.

Answering President Carr’s Friday morning call for a substitute was Founder and Nevins-Freeman Award recipient Ralph G. Newman. In characteristic fashion, Ralph provided those present with an evening of stimulating and provocative scholarship highlighted not only by his prepared remarks, but also by a fascinating question and answer period. The latter session found everyone remaining in their seats until only the lateness of the hour forced adjournment.

For his topic Ralph chose, “A Critical Appraisal of Robert E. Lee” and he began by stating that it was high time we examined the career of the great Confederate leader from a position other than on our knees. Organizing his thoughts by way of a reply to the pictures of Lee painted by Freeman, Roland, and others, Ralph termed those assessments as just short of deification. A prime target of his criticism was the Southern writers’ attempts to alibi or justify Lee’s overprotectiveness of Virginia. A correct evaluation shows that every one of “Marse Robert’s” attempts at grand strategy was an effort to relieve the pressure on his native state. He consistently refused to permit the detachment of troops from his own army to strengthen beleaguered Southern forces in other areas of the Confederacy. With his eyes firmly fixed on the Old Dominion, he even failed to recognize the serious blow his cause had suffered by the fall of Vicksburg, passing off its loss in a single sentence in a letter to Davis otherwise filled with concern for Virginia.

While not ignoring Lee’s many great achievements and virtues, Ralph cited many flaws and errors of judgement as evidence that he was not the omnipotent successor to Hannibal that legend has made him. The entire Gettysburg campaign can only be described as a tremendous blunder from start to finish. In recommending the invasion of Pennsylvania, Lee grossly misjudged its effect on the Federal populace and overestimated the strength of the Northern peace party. His tactics during the three day battle can most charitably be described as terrible. On that battlefield, and others, he fought where prudence and sound military thinking dictated otherwise. Faulty, too, were his opinions on the capabilities of his subordinates, as he failed to appreciate their limitations. Long after he had lost Jackson, he continued to plan offensive strikes without realizing he had no one able to lead them.

Citing Livermore’s figures, Ralph pointed out that Lee’s troops suffered greater casualties percentage-wise than did Grant’s and this despite the fact that Lee was more often on the defensive where losses were traditionally lighter. Yet Grant is referred to as a butcher. Turning next to his relationship with his commander-in-chief, Ralph noted that even Lee’s staunchest admirers find fault with his excessive deference to Jefferson Davis. This gave the Confederate president, a frustrated general, an even greater opportunity to meddle in military affairs than his position would have otherwise afforded him. In concluding his remarks, Ralph called for an objective biography of Lee by a Northerner, as well as a biography of Lincoln by a Southerner. Only in this way can we perhaps pierce the veil of heroism and idolatry which has surrounded these two leaders and clouded the true picture of each man.

Please take note that, because of Good Friday, the April meeting has been changed to the third Friday, rather than the second, and will therefor be held on April 15, 1977.

TO THE CAMPFOLLOWERS (LADIES)

The weather did use us! There were so many cancellations because of illness, bad driving conditions, and unwilling baby sitters, that our last meeting was cancelled. Merlin Sumner very graciously consented to postpone his appearance and will be with us on February 11, when he will speak to us on “Incidents Curiously Related: A Biographical Sketch of Ulysses S. Grant.” The talk is certain to be a most interesting one and you are all urged to attend and to bring an interested friend. The cocktail hour will begin at 5:30 p.m., in the second floor private dining room of Stouffer’s Restaurant, at Randolph and Wabash. The talk will follow at 6:00 promptly, so that it will be possible for the speaker to attend the men’s meeting. Add your reservation to your husband’s card or telephone Margaret April, 787-1860.

1977 NEVINS-FREEMAN AWARD

The selection committee, chaired by Jerry Edelstein and Merlin Sumner, has announced that the 1977 Nevins-Freeman Award will be presented to Honorary Life Member Lloyd Miller. Lloyd, whose career has been characterized by devotion to scholarship and philanthropy, will join Bruce Catton, Ralph G. Newman, and T. Harry Williams as a most worthy recipient of our highest honor. A member of The Round Table since April 23, 1941, Lloyd has been the prime benefactor of our Fellowship program and has shared with us on many occasions his own historical expertise as a speaker on such subjects as the Battle of Franklin and the Second Day at Gettysburg. The presentation ceremonies will be held in the remodeled facilities of the Chicago Public Library’s Cultural Center on June 10, 1977. Additional details of the program for this fine evening will be announced as they become available.

William (Will) Leonard
1912-1977

Once again, as has unfortunately occurred several times in recent months, The Round Table has suffered a deep loss. William (Will) Leonard, humorist, drama and entertainment critic, historian, and brilliant columnist for the Chicago Tribune passed away on January 6, 1977 at the age of 64. A regular attendant on our annual battlefield tours, his wit and companionship added immeasurably to the pleasure of all. Just this past year his magnificent defense of the railroad bridge at Monocacy in the face of the charge of a fast-moving freight train earned for Will the 1976 Confederate Purple Heart Award.

However, the twinkle of his eye and the ever present smile of a leprechaun masked, but never hid, Will’s serious appreciation of those who preceded us and what they accomplished. His interests covered a wide range of subjects and each found its way into his newspaper columns. Preservation of fading memories, such as straw hats and the St. Louis Browns, the majesty of railroading, the romanticism of Sherlock Holmes, and even the adventures of the battlefield tours; all were chronicled for the readers of Chicago. To his family we can only extend our most sincere sympathy, for their loss is also our loss. Of such as Will Leonard is the Round Table made, and he will have a place in our hearts for so long as we join together in the fellowship and scholarship he symbolized.

We have learned that several of Will’s friends are interested in establishing a living memorial to him at the Chicago Historical Society. This tribute could take the form of a lecture or publication series. We shall so advise you should these plans become a reality.
THE NEW BOOKS

(Compiled by Dick Clark)


Borcke, Heros von and Justus Scheibert. The Great Cavalry Battle of Brandy Station. Translated from the German with historical commentary by Stuart T. Wright and F.D. Bridgewater. Foreword by Bell I. Wiley. Winston-Salem, N.C.: Palamon Press Ltd. $10.00


Hobbs, Thomas Hubbard. The Journals of... Edited with notes and index by Faye Acton Axford. Gettysburg: Historical Times Book Service, 1976. $10.00


Peter, Frances Dallam. Window on the War: Lexington Civil War Diary of... Lexington, Ky.: Lexington-Fayette County Historic Commission, 1976. paper $4.50


BULLETIN BOARD

FUTURE MEETINGS

Regular Meetings are held at the Chicago Bar Association, 29 South LaSalle, second Friday in each month except as noted.

February 11: Mark E. Neely, Jr. on "To Distinguish Myself: Lincoln and the Mexican War."

March 11: Dr. William E. Parrish on "The Bohemian Brigade: The Eastern Press Covers the War in Missouri in 1861."


May 4-8: Annual Battlefield Tour to Middle Tennessee.

May 13: Dr. Richard J. Sommers on "Petersburg."

June 10: Ladies night, Nevins-Freeman Award Dinner and Installation of Officers. Recipient of Award — Lloyd Miller.

Every Monday: Informal noon luncheon meetings at Wieboldt's Men's Grill, 9th Floor, State and Madison; all members welcome.

NEW MEMBERS

Anthony Primack, 1505 S. 57th Ct., Cicero, Illinois 60650.


Arnold C. Bodinet, Jr., 7137 S. Christiana, Chicago, Illinois 60629.

Thomas J. Price, 20449 Ithaca Road, Olympia Fields, Illinois 60461.

CHANGES OF ADDRESS

Harry G. Hershenson, 3750 Lake Shore Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60613.


John F. Wilhelm II, 8750 Harrison, Munster, Indiana 46321.


Under the guidance of Chairman Dan Weinberg, our Speakers Bureau has been reactivated. Any social, civic, school, or fraternal organization or other Round Table desiring a speaker on the Civil War, Lincoln, or related fields need only contact Dan at the Abraham Lincoln Book Shop. Dan would also like to hear from members of The Round Table who would be interested in being listed on the Bureau's roster of those willing and able to present such programs.

Ejectors of the Hall of Fame in New York, which is affiliated with New York University and the City University of New York, have announced that among those recently selected for induction into this gallery of great Americans is Clara Barton, famed Civil War Nurse. However, one of those who did not receive enough votes to have his bust so enshrined was Jefferson Davis, President of the Confederacy.